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The applicant complained that his confinement in a metal cage during the criminal proceedings against him had violated Article 3.

The Court noted that the applicant had been held in a metal cage during his trial, which consisted of sixteen hearings (§10). In this regard, the Court recalled its previous case-law "where it came to the conclusion that holding a person in a metal cage in the courtroom constituted in itself [...] an affront to human dignity,” and amounted to degrading treatment in violation of Article 3 of the Convention.

The Court saw no reason to depart from these findings and concluded that there had been a violation of Article 3.